arXiv:1503.01971v2 [math.NT] 1 Jun 2015

KOLYVAGIN'S METHOD FOR CHOW GROUPS OF KUGA-SATO VARIETIES OVER RING CLASS FIELDS

Yara Elias[1]

Department of Mathematics, McGill University

`yara.elias@mail.mcgill.ca`

Abstract

We use an Euler system of Heegner cycles to bound the Selmer group associated to a modular form of higher even weight twisted by a ring class field character. This is an extension of Nekovar's result [14] that uses Bertolini and Darmon's refinement of Kolyvagin's ideas, as described in [1].

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to my advisor Henri Darmon who suggested this beautiful problem and guided me through its resolution and whose feedback was crucial to the writing of this paper. I thank Olivier Fouquet, Eyal Goren for many useful corrections and suggestions.

1 Introduction

Kolyvagin [11] and [8] used an Euler system to bound the size of the Selmer group of certain elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields assuming the non-vanishing of a suitable Heegner point. This implied that they have rank 1, and that their associated Tate-Shafarevich group is finite. Combined with results of Gross and Zagier [9], this proved the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for analytic rank at most 1. Bertolini and Darmon later adapted Kolyvagin's descent to Mordell-Weil groups over ring class fields [1]. Nekovar [14] then applied the methods of Euler systems to modular forms of even weight larger than 2 to describe the image by the Abel-Jacobi map of certain algebraic cycles of the associated Kuga-Sato varieties. Combined with results of

---

[1] Supported by a doctoral scholarship of the Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies.

Gross-Zagier [9] and Brylinski [5], this provided further grounds to believe the Bloch-Beilinson conjecture which generalizes Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer's. The present work adapts ideas and techniques from the aforementionned articles to bound the size of Selmer groups associated to modular forms of even weight larger than 2 twisted by ring class characters of imaginary quadratic fields.

Let f be a normalized newform of level $\Gamma_0(N)$ and trivial nebentype where $N \geq 5$ and of even weight $2r > 2$ and let

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$$

be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying the *Heegner hypothesis* relative to N, that is, rational primes dividing N split in K. For simplicity, we assume that $|\mathscr{O}_K^\times| = 2$. We fix a prime p not dividing $ND\phi(N)$. Let H be the ring class field of K of conductor c with $(c, NDp) = 1$, and let e be the exponent of $\mathrm{Gal}(H/K)$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, \mu_e)$ be the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the coefficients of f and the e-th roots of unity μ_e. We denote by A the p-adic étale realization of the motive associated to f by Scholl [16] and Deligne [6] twisted by r (see Section 2 for more details). It will be viewed (by extending scalars appropriately) as a free $\mathscr{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ module of rank 2, equipped with a continuous $\mathscr{O}_F$-linear action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $A_\wp$ be the localization of A at a prime $\wp$ of $\mathscr{O}_F$ dividing p as in Expression 1. Then $A_\wp$ is a free module of rank 2 over $\mathscr{O}_\wp$, the completion of $\mathscr{O}_F$ at $\wp$. The Selmer group

$$S \subseteq H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$$

consists of the cohomology classes c whose localizations c_v at a prime v of H lie in

$$\begin{cases} H^1(H_v^{ur}/H_v, A_\wp/p) \text{ for } v \text{ not dividing } Np \\ H^1_f(H_v, A_\wp/p) \text{ for } v \text{ dividing } p \end{cases}$$

where $H^1_f(H_v, A_\wp/p)$ is the *finite part* of $H^1(H_v, A_\wp/p)$ as in [4]. In our setting, since $A_\wp$ has good reduction at p, $H^1_f(H_v,M) = H^1_{cris}(H_v,M)$. Note that the assumptions we make will ensure that $H^1(H_v^{ur}/H_v, A_\wp/p) = 0$ for v dividing N. The Galois group

$$G = \mathrm{Gal}(H/K)$$

acts on $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$ and preserves the unramified and cristalline classes, hence it acts on S. Assume that p does not divide $|G|$. We denote by $\hat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mu_e)$ the group of characters of G and by

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\chi^{-1}(g)g$$

the projector onto the χ-eigenspace given a character χ of $\hat{G}$.

By the Heegner hypothesis, there is an ideal $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{O}_c$, the order of K of conductor c, such that

$$\mathcal{O}_c/\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_c$ and $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}^{-1}$ define elliptic curves related by an N-isogeny. As points of $X_0(N)$ correspond to elliptic curves related by N-isogenies, this provides a *Heegner point* x_1 of $X_0(N)$. By the theory of complex multiplication, x_1 is defined over H. Let E be the corresponding elliptic curve. Then E has complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_c$. The Heegner cycle of conductor c is defined as

$$e_r(\mathrm{graph}(\sqrt{-D}))^{r-1}$$

for some appropriate projector e_r, (see Section 2 for more details). Let δ be the image by the p-adic étale Abel-Jacobi map of the Heegner cycle of conductor c viewed as an element of $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$. We denote by $Fr(v)$ the arithmetic Frobenius element generating $\mathrm{Gal}(H_v^{ur}/H_v)$, and by

$$I_v = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{H_v}/H_v^{ur}).$$

This article is dedicated to the proof of the following statement:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p is such that*

$$\mathrm{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}(A_{\wp}/p)/\mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p), \quad (p, ND\phi(N)) = 1, \text{ and } p \nmid |G|.$$

Suppose further that the eigenvalues of $Fr(v)$ acting on $A_{\wp}^{I_v}$ are not equal to 1 modulo p for v dividing N. Let $\chi \in \hat{G}$ be such that $e_{\overline{\chi}}\delta$ is not divisible by p. Then the χ-eigenspace S^{χ} of the Selmer group S has rank 1 over $\mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first view the p-adic étale realization A of the twisted motive associated to f in the middle étale cohomology of the associated Kuga-Sato varieties. The main two ingredients of the proof are the refinement of an Euler system of so-called Heegner cycles first considered by Nekovář and Kolyvagin's descent machinery adapted by Nekovář [14] to the setting of modular forms. In order to bound the rank of the χ-eigenspace of the Selmer group S^{χ}, we use Local Tate duality and the local reciprocity law to obtain information on the local elements of the Selmer group. Using a global pairing of the Selmer group and Cebotarev's density theorem, we translate this local information about the elements of S^{χ} into global information.

The main novelty is the adaptation of the techniques by Bertolini and Darmon in [1] to the setting of modular forms that allow us to get around the action of complex conjugation. Indeed, unlike the case where χ is trivial, the complex conjugation τ does not act on S^{χ} as it maps it to $S^{\overline{\chi}}$.

2 Motive associated to a modular form

In this section, we describe the p-adic étale realization A of the motive associated to f by Scholl [16] and Deligne [6] twisted by r. Consider the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ for $N \geq 5$ of the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ \middle|\ c \equiv 0 \mod N \right\}.$$

We denote by $Y_0(N)$ the smooth irreducible affine curve that is the moduli space classifying elliptic curves with $\Gamma_0(N)$ level structure, that is elliptic curves with cyclic subgroups of order N. Equivalently, $Y_0(N)$ classifies pairs of elliptic curves related by an N-isogeny. Over $\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(N) \ \simeq \ Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \ : \ \tau \ \mapsto \ \left(\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau)\ ,\ \langle\frac{1}{N}\rangle\right).$$

We denote by $X_0(N)$ the compactification of $Y_0(N)$ viewed as a Riemann surface and we let j be the inclusion map

$$j : Y_0(N) \hookrightarrow X_0(N).$$

The assumption $N \geq 5$ allows for the definition of the universal elliptic curve

$$\pi : \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow X_0(N).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H})$$

be the universal generalized elliptic curve over the Poincaré upper half plane where (m,n) in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ acts on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ by

$$(z,\tau) \ \mapsto \ (z+m\tau+n,\tau).$$

We denote by $\mathscr{E}$ the compact universal generalized elliptic curve of level $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let W_r be the *Kuga-Sato variety* of dimension $r+1$, that is a compact desingularization of the r-fold fibre product

$$\mathscr{E} \times_{X_0(N)} \cdots \times_{X_0(N)} \mathscr{E},$$

(see [6] and the appendix by Conrad in [3] for more details).

Fix a prime p with $(p, N\phi(N)) = 1$. Consider the sheaf

$$\mathscr{F} = Sym^{2r-2}(R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Let

$$\Gamma_{2r-2} = (\mathbb{Z}/N \rtimes \mu_2)^{2r-2} \rtimes \Sigma_{r-2}$$

where $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ and Σ_{2r-2} is the symmetric group on $2r-2$ elements. Then Γ_{2r-2} acts on W_{2r-2}, (see [16, Sections 1.1.0,1.1.1] for more details.) The projector

$$e_r \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2N(r-2)!}\right][\Gamma_{2r-2}]$$

associated to Γ_{2r-2}, called Scholl's projector, belongs to the group of zero correspondences

$$\mathrm{Corr}^0(W_{2r-2}, W_{2r-2})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

from W_{2r-2} to itself over $\mathbb{Q}$, (see [2, Section 2.1] for more details.).

Remark 2.1. The hypothesis $((2r-2)!, p) = 1$ is not necessary by a combination of the work of Tsuji [17] on p-adic comparison theorems and Saito [15] on the Weight-Monodromy conjecture for Kuga-Sato varieties.

Proposition 2.2.

$$H^1_{et}(X_0(N)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, j_*\mathscr{F}) \simeq e_r \oplus_{i=0}^{r+1} H^i_{et}(W_r\otimes\overline{H}, \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Proof. The proof is a combination of [16, theorem 1.2.1] and [3, proposition 2.4]. Note that the proof in [16, theorem 1.2.1] involves $\mathbb{Q}_p$ coefficients but it is still valid in our setting, (see the Remark following [14, Proposition 2.1]). ☐

Define

$$J = H^1_{et}(X_0(N)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, j_*\mathscr{F}).$$

For primes ℓ prime to N, the Hecke operators T_ℓ act on $X_0(N)$, which induces an endomorphism of $H^1_{et}(X_0(N)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, j_*\mathscr{F})$. Let A be its f-isotypic component with respect to the action of the Hecke operators. Let

$$I = Ker\{\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_F : T_\ell \longrightarrow a_\ell, \forall \ell \nmid N\}.$$

Then $A = \{x \in J \mid Ix = 0\}$ is isomorphic to J/IJ. A is a free $\mathscr{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ module of rank 2, equipped with a continuous $\mathscr{O}_F$-linear action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Hence, there is a map

$$e_A : J \longrightarrow A$$

that is equivariant under the action of Hecke operators and $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Consider the étale p-adic Abel-Jacobi map

$$\Phi : CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 \longrightarrow H^1(H, H^{2r-1}_{et}(W_{2r-2}\otimes\overline{H}, \mathbb{Z}_p(r)))$$

where $CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0$ is the group of homologically trivial cycles of codimension $2r-2$ on W_{2r-2} defined over H, modulo rational equivalence. Composing the Abel-Jacobi map with the projector

e_r, we obtain a map

$$\Phi : CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 \longrightarrow H^1(H,J).$$

The Abel-Jacobi map commutes with automorphisms of W_{2r-2}, so Φ factors through

$$e_r(CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proposition 2.2 implies that $e_rH^{r+1}(W_{2r-2} \otimes \overline{H}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. Since

$$CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 = Ker(CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H) \longrightarrow H^{r+1}(W_{2r-2} \otimes \overline{H}, \mathbb{Z}_p)),$$

we have $e_r(CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = e_r(CH^r(W_{2r-2}/H) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Composing the former map with the map $e_A : J \longrightarrow A$, we get

$$\Phi : e_rCH^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0 \longrightarrow H^1(H,A).$$

3 Heegner cycles

Consider an integer m such that $(m, cNDp) = 1$. Recall that $H = K_c$ is the ring class field of K of conductor c. We denote by

$$H_m = K_{cm}$$

the ring class field of K of conductor cm for $m > 1$. We describe Nekovář's construction of Heegner cycles as in [14, Section 5].

By the Heegner hypothesis, there is an ideal $\mathscr{N}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{cm}$, the order of K of conductor cm, such that

$$\mathscr{O}_{cm}/\mathscr{N} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{C}/\mathscr{O}_{cm}$ and $\mathbb{C}/\mathscr{N}^{-1}$ define elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ related by an N-isogeny. As points of $X_0(N)$ correspond to elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ related by N-isogenies, this provides a *Heegner point* x_m of $X_0(N)$. By the theory of complex multiplication, x_m is defined over the ring class field H_m of K of conductor cm, (see [7] for more details). Let E be the elliptic curve corresponding to x_m. Then E has complex multiplication by $\mathscr{O}_{cm}$. Letting $graph(\sqrt{-D})$ be the graph of the multiplication by $\sqrt{-D}$ on E, we denote by Z_E the image of the divisor

$$(graph(\sqrt{-D})-E\times 0-D(0\times E))$$

in the Néron-Severi group $NS(E\times E)$ of $E\times E$, that is, the group of divisors of $E\times E$ modulo algebraic equivalence. Consider the inclusion

$$i:E^{2r-2}\longrightarrow W_{2r-2}.$$

Then $i_*(Z_E^{r-1})$ belongs to the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^r(W_{2r-2}/H_m)_0$. Denote by y_m the image of $i_*(Z_E^{r-1})$ by the p-adic étale Abel-Jacobi map

$$\Phi:\mathrm{CH}^r(W_{2r-2}/H_m)_0\longrightarrow H^1(H_m,A)$$

as described in [10]. We consider two crucial properties of the Galois cohomology classes thus obtained from Heegner cycles.

A prime ℓ inert in K where $(\ell,cmNDp)=1$ is unramified in H_m. A prime λ_m above ℓ in H_m ramifies completely in $H_{\ell m}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Consider cohomology classes y_n and y_m with $n=\ell m$, where ℓ is a prime inert in K. Then*

$$T_\ell y_m=\mathrm{cor}_{H_n/H_m}y_n=a_\ell y_m.$$

Proof. Let E_m be the elliptic curve corresponding to x_m. Then, we have

$$T_\ell(i_*(Z^{r-1}_{E_m})) = \sum_y i_*(Z^{r-1}_{E_y}),$$

where the elements $y \in Y_0(N)$ correspond to ℓ-isogenies $E_y \to E_m$ compatible with level $\Gamma_0(N)$ structure. The set $\{y\}$ consists of the orbit of x_n in

$$\mathrm{Gal}(H_n/H_m) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_m) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K_\ell/K_1).$$

Let E_n be the elliptic curve corresponding to x_n. We have

$$\sum_y i_*(Z^{r-1}_{E_y}) = \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Gal}(H_n/H_m)} g \cdot i_*(Z^{r-1}_{E_n}) = \mathrm{cor}_{H_n/H_m} i_*(Z^{r-1}_{E_n}).$$

Since the action of the Hecke operators commutes with the Abel-Jacobi map, we obtain

$$T_\ell y_m = \mathrm{cor}_{H_n/H_m} y_n.$$

The equality

$$T_\ell y_m = a_\ell y_m$$

follows from the definition of A on which Hecke operators T_ℓ act by a_ℓ. $\square$

We denote by $(y_n)_v$ the image of an element $y_n \in H^1(H_n, A)$ in $H^1(H_{n,v}, A)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Consider cohomology classes y_n and y_m with $n = \ell m$, where ℓ is a prime inert in K. Let λ_m be a prime above ℓ in K_m and λ_n the prime above λ_m in K_n. Then*

$$(y_n)_{\lambda_n} = Fr(\ell)(\mathrm{res}_{K_{\lambda_m}, K_{\lambda_n}} (y_m)_{\lambda_m}) \textit{ in } H^1(K_{\lambda_n}, A).$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [14, proposition 6.1(2)]. ☐

4 The Euler system

Let $n = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_k$ be a squarefree product of primes ℓ_i inert in K satisfying

$$(\ell_i, DNpc) = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

The Galois group $G_n = \mathrm{Gal}(H_n/H)$ is isomorphic to the product over the primes ℓ dividing n of the cyclic groups $\mathrm{Gal}(H_\ell/H)$ of order $\ell + 1$. Let σ_ℓ be a generator of G_ℓ. We denote by $\mathscr{O}_\wp$, the completion of $\mathscr{O}_F$ at a prime $\wp$ dividing p. Then $\mathscr{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \oplus_{\wp|p} \mathscr{O}_\wp$. Let

$$A_\wp = A \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathscr{O}_\wp \tag{1}$$

be the localization of A at $\wp$. Denote by

$$y_{n,\wp} \in H^1(H_n, A_\wp)$$

the $\wp$-component of $y_n \in H^1(H_n, A)$. In this section, we use Operators (3) considered by Kolyvagin to define Kolyvagin cohomology classes $P(n) \in H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$ using the cohomology classes y_n in $H^1(H_n, A)$ for appropriate n. Let

$$L = H(A_\wp/p)$$

be the smallest Galois extension of H such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ acts trivially on $A_\wp/p$. We will denote by $\mathrm{Frob}_{F_1/F_2}(\alpha)$, the conjugacy class of the Frobenius substitution of the prime α of F_2 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F_2)$.

A prime ℓ will be referred to as a *Kolyvagin prime* if it is such that

$$(\ell, DNpc) = 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Frob}_\ell(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Frob}_\infty(L/\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\mathrm{Frob}_\infty(L/\mathbb{Q})$ refers to the conjugacy class of complex conjugation. Given a Kolyvagin prime ℓ, the Frobenius condition implies that it is inert in K. Denote by λ the unique prime in K above ℓ. Since λ is unramified in H and has the same image as $\mathrm{Frob}_\infty(L/K) = \tau^2 = Id$ by the Artin map, it splits completely in H. Let λ' be a prime of H lying above λ, then λ' splits completely in L as it lies in the kernel of the Artin map:

$$\mathrm{Frob}_{\lambda'}(L/H) = \tau^2 = Id.$$

The Frobenius condition also implies that

$$a_\ell \equiv \ell + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, the characteristic polynomial of the complex conjugation acting on $A_\wp/p$ is $x^2 - 1$ while the characteristic polynomial of $\mathrm{Frob}(\ell)$ is

$$x^2 - a_\ell/\ell^r x + 1/\ell.$$

The latter corresponds to the polynomial $x^2 - a_\ell x + \ell^{2r-1}$ where we make the change of variable $x \to \ell^r x$ dictated by the Tate twist r of Y_p. As a consequence, we obtain the polynomial

$$x^2\ell^{2r} - a_\ell \ell^r x + \ell^{2r-1} = \ell^{2r}(x^2 - a_\ell/\ell^r x + 1/\ell).$$

We assume that the primes ℓ dividing n are Kolyvagin primes. Let

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\ell = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sigma_\ell^i, \qquad D_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i\sigma_\ell^i. \tag{3}$$

These operators are related by

$$(\sigma_\ell - 1)D_\ell = \ell + 1 - \mathrm{Tr}_\ell.$$

We define $D_n = \prod_{\ell|n} D_\ell$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G_n]$. And we denote by $\mathrm{red}(x)$ the image of an element x of $H^1(H_n, A_\wp)$ in $H^1(H_n, A_\wp/p)$ induced by the projection

$$A_\wp \longrightarrow A_\wp/p.$$

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$D_n\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp}) \text{ belongs to } H^1(H_n, A_\wp/p)^{G_n}.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that for all ℓ dividing n,

$$(\sigma_\ell - 1)D_n\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp}) = 0$$

in $H^1(H_n, A_\wp/p)$. We have

$$(\sigma_\ell - 1)D_n = (\sigma_\ell - 1)D_\ell D_m = (\ell + 1 - \mathrm{Tr}_\ell)D_m.$$

Since $\mathrm{res}_{H_m,H_n} \circ \mathrm{cor}_{H_n/H_m} = \mathrm{Tr}_\ell$, Proposition 3.1 implies

$$(\ell + 1 - \mathrm{Tr}_\ell)D_m\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp}) = (\ell + 1)D_m\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp}) - a_\ell\mathrm{res}_{H_m,H_n}(D_m\mathrm{red}(y_{m,\wp})).$$

The latter is congruent to 0 modulo p by Equation (2). □

Proposition 4.2. *For n such that $(n, cpND) = 1$, we have*

$$H^0(H_n, A_\wp/p) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}, A_\wp/p) = 0,$$

$$\textit{and } \mathrm{Gal}(H_n(A_\wp/p)/H_n) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(H(A_\wp/p)/H) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K(A_\wp/p)/K) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A_\wp/p)/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. Indeed, $H_n/\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(A_\wp/p)/\mathbb{Q}$ are unramified outside primes dividing cnD and Np respectively, so $H_n \cap \mathbb{Q}(A_\wp/p)$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ has no unramified extensions, we obtain that $H_q \cap \mathbb{Q}(A_\wp/p) = \mathbb{Q}$, and therefore $H^0(H_q, A_\wp/p) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}, Y_p)$. The hypothesis

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(A_\wp/p)/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathscr{O}_\wp/p)$$

further implies that $H^0(\mathbb{Q}, A_\wp/p) = 0$. The result follows. ☐

Proposition 4.3. *The restriction map*

$$\mathrm{res}_{H,H_n} : H^1(H, A_\wp/p) \longrightarrow H^1(H_n, A_\wp/p)^{G_n}$$

is an isomorphism for $(n, cpND) = 1$.

Proof. This follows from the inflation-restriction sequence:

$$0 \to H^1(H_n/H, A_\wp/p) \xrightarrow{inf} H^1(H, A_\wp/p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} H^1(H_n, A_\wp/p)^{G_n} \to H^2(H_n/H, A_\wp/p)$$

using the fact that $H^0(H_n, A_\wp/p) = 0$ by Proposition 4.2. ☐

As a consequence, the cohomology classes $D_n\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp})$ can be lifted to cohomology classes $P(n)$ in $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$ such that

$$\mathrm{res}_{H,H_n} P(n) = D_n\mathrm{red}(y_{n,\wp}).$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let v be a prime of H. If $v|N$, then $P(n)_v$ is trivial. If $v \nmid Nnp$, then $P(n)_v$ lies in* $H^1(H_v^{ur}/H_v, A_\wp/p)$.

Proof. If v divides N, we follow the proof in [14, lemma 10.1]. We denote by

$$(A_{\wp}/p)^{dual} = \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\wp}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(1))$$

the local Tate dual of $A_{\wp}/p$. The local Euler characteristic formula [13, Section 1.2] yields

$$|H^1(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)| = |H^0(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)| \times |H^2(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)|.$$

Local Tate duality then implies

$$|H^1(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)| = |H^0(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)|^2.$$

The Weil conjectures and the assumption on $Fr(v)$ imply that $((A_{\wp}/p)^{I_v})^{Fr(v)} = 0$ where

$$< Fr(v) > = \ \mathrm{Gal}(H_v^{ur}/H_v)$$

and $I_v = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{H_v}/H_v^{ur})$ is the inertia group. Therefore,

$$((A_{\wp}/p)^{I_v})^{G(H_v^{ur}/H_v)} = (A_{\wp}/p)^{G(\overline{H_v}/H_v)} = H^0(H_v, A_{\wp}/p) = 0.$$

To prove the second assertion, if v does not divide Nnp, we observe that

$$\mathrm{res}_{H,H_n} P(n)_v = D_n red(y_{n,\wp})_{v'}$$

belongs to $H^1(H_{n,v'}^{ur}/H_{n,v'}, A_{\wp}/p)$ and $H_{n,v'}/H_v$ is unramified for v' in H_n above v. □

5 Localization of Kolyvagin classes

Nekovář [14] studied the relation between the localization of Kolyvagin cohomology classes $P(m\ell)$ and $P(m)$, for appropriate m and ℓ by explicitly computing cocycles using the Euler system properties. We briefly explain his development in this section.

Set up. We denote by

$$G_1 = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_1),\ \ G_\ell = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_\ell),\ \ \tilde{G_1} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_1^+),$$
$$\text{and } G_{\lambda_1} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/H_{1,\lambda_1}),\ \ G_{\lambda_\ell} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/H_{\ell,\lambda_\ell}),\ \ \tilde{G}_{\lambda_1} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

where H_1^+ is the maximal real subfield of H_1. Then

$$G_1/G_\ell =< \sigma >,\ \ \tilde{G_1}/G_1 =< \tau >,\ \ \tilde{G_1}/G_\ell = \mathrm{Gal}(H_\ell/H^+) =< \sigma > \rtimes < \tau >$$

for some σ and τ of order $\ell+1$ and 2 respectively. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi : \tilde{G}_{\lambda_1} \xrightarrow{res} \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^t/\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1) \rtimes 2\hat{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^t/\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ur}) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1) = \prod_{q\neq\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

is generated by an element τ_ℓ and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ur}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is generated by the Frobenius element ϕ at ℓ and $\phi\tau_\ell\phi^{-1} = (\tau_\ell)^\ell$. One can show that

$$H^1(G_{\lambda_1}, A_\wp/p) = H^1(G_{\lambda_\ell}, A_\wp/p) \simeq H^1(2\hat{Z}, A_\wp/p) \simeq (A_\wp/p)/((\phi^2-1)A_\wp/p)$$

and a cocycle F in $Z^1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1) \rtimes 2\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A_{\wp}/p)$ acts by

$$F(\tau_\ell^u \phi^{2v}) = (1+\phi^2+\cdots+\phi^{2(v-1)})a + (\phi^2-1)b,$$

where $[F] = a \mod (\phi^2-1)A_{\wp}/p$.

Let H_λ^{ur} be the maximal unramified extension of H_λ, and let H_λ^t be the maximal tamely ramified extension of H_λ, We denote by

$$\gamma \,:\, H^1(H_\lambda^{ur}/H_\lambda, A) \simeq H^1(H_\lambda^{ur}, A)^\phi \tag{4}$$

the isomorphism that switches cocycles with same values on the arithmetic Frobenius element ϕ generating $\mathrm{Gal}(H_\lambda^{ur}/H_\lambda)$ and the generator τ_ℓ of $\mathrm{Gal}(H_\lambda^t/H_\lambda^{ur})$ modulo p.

Proposition 5.1. *We have*

$$\left(\frac{\ell+1}{p}\varepsilon - \frac{a_\ell}{p}\right)\gamma(P(m)_{\lambda_1}) = \frac{a_\ell\varepsilon/\ell^r - 1/\ell - 1}{p}P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1} \tag{5}$$

where λ_1 is a prime of H_1 dividing ℓ, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Furthermore, $P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1}$ is unramified at ℓ.

Proof. We denote by

$$x = D_m y_m \in H^1(G_1, A_{\wp}/p), \text{ and } y = D_m y_{\ell m} \in H^1(G_\ell, A_{\wp}/p).$$

Let $z = P(\ell m)$ in $H^1(G_1, A_{\wp}/p)$. Then

$$\mathrm{res}_{G_1,G_\ell}(z) = D_\ell red(y) \in H^1(G_\ell, A_{\wp}/p).$$

For a in $A_{\wp}/p$, we have

$$D_{\ell}a = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i\sigma^{i}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{res}_{G_1,G_{\lambda_\ell}}(z) = 0$, which implies that $P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1}$ is ramified at a place λ_1 of H_1 above ℓ. Hence, using the inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\lambda_1}/G_{\lambda_\ell}, A_{\wp}/p) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\lambda_1}, A_{\wp}/p) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\lambda_\ell}, A_{\wp}/p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain

$$P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1} = \mathrm{res}_{G_1,G_{\lambda_1}}(z) = \mathrm{inf}_{G_{\lambda_1}/G_{\lambda_\ell},G_{\lambda_1}}(z_1)$$

for some

$$z_1 \in H^1(G_{\lambda_1}/G_{\lambda_\ell}, A_{\wp}/p) = \mathrm{Hom}(<\sigma>, A_{\wp}/p).$$

Since $cor_{G_\ell,G_1}(y) = a_\ell x$, there is an element a in $A_{\wp}/p$ such that

$$\mathrm{cor}_{G_\ell,G_1}(y)(g_1) - a_\ell\, x(g_1) = (g_1 - 1)a \tag{6}$$

for g_1 in G_1. It is shown in [14, section 7] that

$$a = z_1(\sigma).$$

We let

$$a_x = \mathrm{res}_{G,G_{\lambda_1}}(x), \text{ and } a_y = \mathrm{res}_{H,G_{\lambda_\ell}}(y).$$

Restricting g_1 to $g_{\lambda_1} \in G_{\lambda_1}$ in equation (6) where $\pi(g_{\lambda_1}) = \sigma^u \phi^{2v}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a_y(\tilde{\sigma}^{-i} g_{\lambda_1} \tilde{\sigma}^i) - a_\ell a_x(g_{\lambda_1}) = (\ell+1)a_y(g_{\lambda_1}) - a_\ell a_x(g_{\lambda_1}) = (\phi^2-1)a,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a lift of σ in G_1/G_ℓ to G_1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x(g_{\lambda_1}) &= (1+\phi^2+\cdots+\phi^{2(v-1)})a_x + (\phi^2-1)b_x, \\ \& \;\; y(g_{\lambda_1}) &= (1+\phi^2+\cdots+\phi^{2(v-1)})a_y + (\phi^2-1)b_y. \end{aligned}$$

For $u=0, v=1$, we obtain from the last three equations

$$(\ell+1)y(g_{\lambda_1}) - a_\ell x(g_{\lambda_1}) = (\phi^2-1)a + (\phi^2-1)(-a_\ell b_x + (\ell+1)b_y), \tag{7}$$

where

$$(\phi^2-1)(-a_\ell b_x + (\ell+1)b_y) = 0 \mod p$$

as $a_\ell \equiv \ell+1 \equiv 0 \mod p$. The second property of the Euler system

$$a_y = \phi(a_x) \mod (\phi^2-1)\, A_{\wp}/p$$

implies that

$$\frac{\ell+1}{p} y(g_{\lambda_1}) - \frac{a_\ell}{p} x(g_{\lambda_1}) = \left(\frac{\ell+1}{p}\varepsilon - \frac{a_\ell}{p}\right) x(g_{\lambda_1})$$

where ε is such that $\phi \equiv \tau$ acts by ε on a_x. Therefore, by Equation (7),

$$\left(\frac{\ell+1}{p}\varepsilon - \frac{a_\ell}{p}\right) x(g_{\lambda_1}) = \frac{\phi^2-1}{p} a \mod p.$$

The characteristic polynomial of ϕ implies that

$$\phi^2 - a_\ell \phi/\ell^r + 1/\ell = 0 \text{ on } A_\wp/p.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{\ell+1}{p}\varepsilon - \frac{a_\ell}{p}\right) x(g_{\lambda_1}) = \frac{a_\ell \phi/\ell^r - 1/\ell - 1}{p} a \equiv \frac{a_\ell \varepsilon/\ell^r - 1/\ell - 1}{p} a.$$

We seek to express $a = z_1(\sigma)$ in terms of $P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1} = \inf_{G_{\lambda_1}/G_{\lambda_\ell}, G_{\lambda_1}}(z_1)$ where the generator σ of $G_{\lambda_1}/G_{\lambda_\ell}$ can be lifted to the generator τ_ℓ of $G_{\lambda_1} = \mathrm{Gal}(H^t_{\lambda_1}/H^{ur}_{\lambda_1})$. It is therefore enough to apply the map γ to a to obtain $P(\ell m)_{\lambda_1}$ where γ switches cocycles with same values on $\mathrm{Frob}(\ell)$ and τ_ℓ. The result follows. $\square$

6 Statement

Recall that the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(H/K)$ where H is the ring class field of K of conductor c acts on $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$. We denoted by

$$\hat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mu_e)$$

the group of characters of G and by

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^{-1}(g) g$$

the projector onto the χ-eigenspace given a character χ of $\hat{G}$. We let

$$\delta = \mathrm{red}(y_1) \text{ in } H^1(H, A_\wp/p).$$

Then $e_{\overline{\chi}}\delta$ belongs to the $\overline{\chi}$-eigenspace of $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$. We recall the statement of the theorem we prove.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that p is such that

$$\mathrm{Gal}\,(\mathbb{Q}(A_{\wp}/p)/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\wp}/p), \quad (p, ND\phi(N)) = 1, \text{ and } p \nmid |G|. \tag{8}$$

Suppose further that the eigenvalues of $Fr(v)$ acting on $A_{\wp}^{I_v}$ are not equal to 1 modulo p for v dividing N. Assume $\chi \in \hat{G}$ is such that $e_{\overline{\chi}}\delta$ is non-zero. Then the χ-eigenspace S^{χ} of the Selmer group S has rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_{\wp}/p$.

Set up of the proof. Consider the prime λ of K lying above a prime ℓ inert in $\mathbb{Q}$ and let λ' be a prime of H above λ. The self-duality of $A_{\wp}/p$ given by

$$A_{\wp}/p \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\wp}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(1)),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}(A_{\wp}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(1))$ is the Tate dual of $A_{\wp}/p$ and local Tate duality gives a perfect pairing

$$\langle .,. \rangle_{\lambda'} : H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}/H_{\lambda'}, (A_{\wp}/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}) \times H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z},$$

where $I_{\lambda'} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{H_{\lambda'}}/H_{\lambda'}^{ur})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\wp}$-linear isomorphisms

$$\{H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p)\}^{dual} \simeq H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}/H_{\lambda'}, (A_{\wp}/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}) \simeq (A_{\wp}/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}/(\phi - 1). \tag{9}$$

where ϕ is the arithmetic Frobenius element generating $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}/H_{\lambda'})$. Recall that the Selmer group $S \subseteq H^1(H, A_{\wp}/p)$ consists of the cohomology classes whose localizations lie in

$$H^1(H_v^{ur}/H_v, A_{\wp}/p)$$

for v not dividing Np and in $H_f^1(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)$ for v dividing p. Here, $H_f^1(H_v, A_{\wp}/p)$ is the *finite part* of $H^1(H_v, A_\wp/p)$ as in [4]. We denote by

$$\mathrm{res}_\lambda : H^1(H, A_\wp/p) \longrightarrow \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, A_\wp/p)$$

the direct sum of the restriction maps from $H^1(H, A_\wp/p)$ to $H^1(H_{\lambda'}, A_\wp/p)$ for λ' dividing λ in H. Restricting res_λ to the Selmer group, we obtain the following map

$$\mathrm{res}_\lambda : S \longrightarrow \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H^{ur}_{\lambda'}/H_{\lambda'}, (A_\wp/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}).$$

Taking the $(\mathbb{Z}/p)$-linear dual of the previous map and using isomorphism (9), we obtain a homorphism

$$\psi_\ell : \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H^{ur}_{\lambda'}, A_\wp/p) \longrightarrow S^{dual}.$$

Let

$$X_\ell = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_\ell)$$

be the image of ψ_ℓ in S^{dual}. We aim to bound S^{dual} from above by using the Kolyvagin classes $P(n)$ introduced in Section 4 to produce explicit elements in the kernel of ψ_ℓ.

7 Generating the dual of the Selmer group

Lemma 7.1. *We have*

$$H^1(Aut(A_\wp/p), A_\wp/p) = 0.$$

Proof. Sah's lemma [12, 8.8.1] states that if G is a group, M a G-representation, and g an element of $\mathrm{Center}(G)$, then the map $x \longrightarrow (g-1)\,x$ is the zero map on $H^1(G, M)$. In our context, since

$$g = 2I \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_\wp/p)$$

belongs to $\mathrm{Center}(\mathrm{Aut}(A_{\wp}/p))$, we have that $g - I = I$ is the zero map on the group

$$H^1(Aut(A_{\wp}/p), A_{\wp}/p)$$

and the result follows. ☐

Proposition 7.2. *There exists a prime q such that q is a Kolyvagin prime, and such that*

$$\mathrm{res}_{\beta'} e_{\overline{\chi}} \delta$$

is not divisible by p where β' is a prime dividing q in H.

Proof. For the purpose of this proof, we denote the cocycle $e_{\overline{\chi}}\delta$ by c_1 and the Galois group $G(L/H)$ by G. By Proposition 4.4, c_1 belongs to $S^{\overline{\chi}}$. The restriction map

$$r : H^1(H, A_{\wp}/p) \longrightarrow H^1(L, A_{\wp}/p)^G = \mathrm{Hom}_G(L, A_{\wp}/p)$$

is injective. Indeed, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 7.1 imply that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(r) = H^1(H(A_{\wp}/p)/H, A_{\wp}/p) = 0.$$

Consider the evaluation pairing

$$r(S^{\overline{\chi}}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L) \longrightarrow A_{\wp}/p$$

and let

$$\mathrm{Gal}_S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$$

be the annihilator of $r(S^{\overline{\chi}})$. Let L^S be the extension of L fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}_S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ and denote by G_S the

Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L^S/L)$. We obtain an injective homomorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$-modules

$$r(S^{\overline{\chi}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(G_S, A_\wp/p).$$

We denote by s the image of $r(c_1)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(G_S, A_\wp/p)$.

If $s(G_S^+) = 0$, then as s belongs to $S^\pm$, we have

$$s : G_S^- \longrightarrow A_\wp/p^\pm,$$

where $A_\wp/p^\pm$ are the $\pm$ eigenspaces of $A_\wp/p$ with respect to the action of τ. On the one hand, the eigenspace $A_\wp/p^\pm$ is of rank one over $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2 and Assumption (8),

$$G = G(L/H) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathscr{O}_\wp/p).$$

Hence, $A_\wp/p^\pm$ has no non-trivial G-submodules and $s(G_S^-) = 0$, that is $s = 0$. This is a contradiction because $c_1 \neq 0$ in $S^{\overline{\chi}}$ as c_1 is not divisible by p in $S^{\overline{\chi}}$. As a consequence, we have that $s(G_S^+) \neq 0$, where

$$G_S^+ = G_S^{\tau+1} = \{h^\tau h \mid h \text{ in } G_S\} = \{(\tau h)^2 \mid h \text{ in } G_S\}.$$

Therefore, there exists h in G_S such that $c_1((\tau h)^2) \neq 0$. Consider the element τh in $\mathrm{Gal}(L^S/\mathbb{Q})$. Cebotarev's density theorem implies the existence of q in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Frob}_q(L^S/\mathbb{Q}) = \tau h$$

and such that $(q, cpND) = 1$. In particular, q is a Kolyvagin prime since $\mathrm{res}|_L(\tau h) = \tau$. For β in L above q, we have that

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\beta(L^S/L) = (\tau h)^2$$

generates the local extension L^S/L at β. This implies that $\mathrm{res}_{\beta'} c_1$ does not vanish for

$$\beta' = \beta \cap H.$$

$\square$

We consider the restriction d of an element c of $H^1(H, A_{\wp}/p)$ to $H^1(F, A_{\wp}/p)$. Then d factors through some finite extension $\tilde{F}$ of F. We denote by

$$F(c) = \tilde{F}^{\ker(d)}$$

the subextension of $\tilde{F}$ fixed by $\ker(d)$. Note that $F(c)$ is an extension of F.

Consider the following extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & I_{01} = I_0 I_1 & \\
I_0 = F(\mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}))^{\mathrm{Gal}} \arrow[ur] & & I_1 = F(D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp}))^{\mathrm{Gal}} \arrow[ul] \\
 & F = H_q(A_{\wp}/p) \arrow[ul, "V_0"] \arrow[ur, "V_1"'] \arrow[uu, dashed, "V"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the abbreviation Gal indicates taking Galois closure over $\mathbb{Q}$. We define

$$V_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(I_0/F),\ V_1 = \mathrm{Gal}(I_1/F), \text{ and } V = \mathrm{Gal}(I_0 I_1/F).$$

We have an isomorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}(A_{\wp}/p)$-modules $V_0 \simeq V_1 \simeq A_{\wp}/p$. Let

$$I_0^{\overline{\chi}} = F(e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}))^{\mathrm{Gal}} \text{ and } I_1^{\overline{\chi}} = F(e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q red(y_{q,\wp}))^{\mathrm{Gal}}.$$

We denote by $V_0^{\overline{\chi}}$ and $V_1^{\overline{\chi}}$ their respective Galois groups over F. We will show that

$$V^{\overline{\chi}} = \mathrm{Gal}(I_0^{\overline{\chi}} I_1^{\overline{\chi}}/F) \simeq V_0^{\overline{\chi}} \times V_1^{\overline{\chi}}.$$

Proposition 7.3. *The extensions $I_0^{\overline{\chi}}$ and $I_1^{\overline{\chi}}$ are linearly disjoint over F.*

Proof. Linearly independent cocycles c_1, c_2 of $H^1(H_q, A_\wp/p)$ over $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$ can be viewed as linearly independent homomorphisms h_1, h_2 in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)}(V, A_\wp/p)$ over $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$. The restriction map

$$H^1(H_q, A_\wp/p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)} \xrightarrow{(r)} H^1(F, A_\wp/p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)}$$

is injective. Indeed, combining Proposition 4.2 with Proposition 7.1 that implies that

$$H^1(K(A_\wp/p)/K, A_\wp/p) = 0,$$

we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(r) = H^1(F/H_q, A_\wp/p) = 0.$$

Furthermore, cocycles of $H^1(F, A_\wp/p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)}$ factor through

$$H^1(I_{01}/F, A_\wp/p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)}(I_{01}/F, A_\wp/p).$$

Consider the extension $I_0^{\overline{\chi}} \cap I_1^{\overline{\chi}}$ of F. It is a $\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)$-submodule of $A_\wp/p$. The hypothesis $\mathrm{res}_{\beta'} e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}) \neq 0$ implies that

$$\mathrm{res}_{\beta'} e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(D_q y_{q,\wp}) \neq 0$$

by (3.2). On the one hand, since $\mathrm{res}_{\beta'} e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(D_q y_{q,\wp})$ is ramified, $e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp})$ does not belong to $S^{\overline{\chi}}$. On the other hand, $e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}) \neq 0$ belongs to $S^{\overline{\chi}}$ by Proposition 4.4. Therefore $I_0^{\overline{\chi}} \cap I_1^{\overline{\chi}} = 0$

since $A_\wp/p$ is a simple $\mathrm{Gal}(F/H_q)$-module. Note that the cocycles c_1 and c_2 cannot be linearly dependent either since one of them belongs to $S^{\overline{\chi}}$ while the other one does not. $\square$

For a subset $U \subseteq V$, we denote by

$$L(U) = \{\ell \text{ rational prime } | \mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_{01}/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau u], u \in U\}.$$

Note that a rational prime ℓ in $L(U)$ is a Kolyvagin prime as

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(H(A_\wp/p)/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{res}|_{H(A_\wp/p)}\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_{01}/\mathbb{Q}) = \tau$$

since $u \in U$. In fact, it satisfies

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(H_q(A_\wp/p)/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{res}|_{H_q(A_\wp/p)}\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_{01}/\mathbb{Q}) = \tau.$$

Hence, a prime above ℓ in H splits completely in H_q. Indeed, it lies in the kernel of the Artin map because of the Frobenius condition

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(H_q/H) = \tau^{|D(H/\mathbb{Q})|} = \tau^2 = Id,$$

where $|D(H/\mathbb{Q})|$ is the order of the decomposition group $D(H/\mathbb{Q})$, also the order of the residue extension. Similarly, a prime above ℓ in H_q splits completely in $H_q(A_\wp/p)$; it lies in the kernel of the Artin map because of the Frobenius condition

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(H_q(A_\wp/p)/H_q) = \tau^{|D(H_q/\mathbb{Q})|} = \tau^2 = Id.$$

Proposition 7.4. *Assume U^+ generates V^+. Then $\{X_\ell\}_{\ell \in L(U)}$ generates S^{dual}.*

Proof. The proof consists of the following steps:

1. An element s of S can be identified with an element h of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(F, A_\wp/p)$.

2. To show the statement of the theorem, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ for all $\ell \in L(U)$ implies $s = 0$.

3. The assumption $\mathrm{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ for all $\ell \in L(U)$ implies that h vanishes on U^+.

4. The assumption U^+ generates V^+ implies $h = s = 0$.

1. Let s be an element of S. For the purpose of this proof, we denote

$$G = \mathrm{Gal}(H(A_\wp/p)/H) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathscr{O}_\wp/p).$$

We denote by h the image of s by restriction in

$$H^1(F, A_\wp/p)^G \subset \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F), A_\wp/p).$$

Here, restriction can be viewed as the composition of the following two restriction maps

$$H^1(H, A_\wp/p) \xrightarrow{(r_1)} H^1(H(A_\wp/p), A_\wp/p)^G \xrightarrow{(r_2)} H^1(F, A_\wp/p)^G.$$

Combining Proposition 4.2 and 7.1 we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(r_1) = H^1(H(A_\wp/p)/H, A_\wp/p) = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(H_q(A_\wp/p)/H(A_\wp/p)) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(H_q/H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(q+1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

On the one hand, the group G acts trivially on $\mathrm{Gal}(H_q(A_\wp/p)/H(A_\wp/p))$. On the other hand, $A_\wp/p$

is simple as a G-module. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(r_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Gal}(F/H(A_\wp/p)), A_\wp/p) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(H_q/H, A_\wp/p) = 0$$

since such a G-homomorphism maps an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(H_q/H)$ to a G-invariant element of $A_\wp/p$, that is, to 0.

2. By Isomorphism (9), local Tate duality identifies $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_\wp/p)$ with

$$\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}/H_{\lambda'}, (A_\wp/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}).$$

So if we show that

$$\{\mathrm{res}_\lambda\}_{\ell \in L(U)} : S \longrightarrow \{\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}/H_{\lambda'}, (A_\wp/p)^{I_{\lambda'}})\}_{\ell \in L(U)}$$

is injective, then the induced map between the duals

$$\{\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_\wp/p)\}_{\ell \in L(U)} \longrightarrow S^{dual}$$

would be surjective. Hence, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ for all $\ell \in L(U)$ implies $s = 0$.

3. Consider $\tilde{I_{01}}$, the minimal Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing I_{01} such that h factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)$. Let x be an element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)$ such that $x|_{I_{01}}$ belongs to U. By Cebotarev's density theorem, there exists ℓ in $L(U)$ such that $\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(\tilde{I_{01}}/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau x]$. The hypothesis $\mathrm{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ implies that $h(\mathrm{Frob}_{\lambda''}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)) = 0$ for λ'' above ℓ in F since $\mathrm{Frob}_{\lambda''}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)$ is a generator of the local extension of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)$ at λ''. In fact,

$$\mathrm{Frob}_{\lambda''}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F) = (\tau x)^{|D(F/\mathbb{Q})|} = (\tau x)^2 = x^\tau x = 2x^+,$$

where $|D(F/\mathbb{Q})|$ is the order of the decomposition group $D(F/\mathbb{Q})$, and is also the order of the residue extension and $x^+ = \frac{1}{2}x^\tau x$. Therefore, $h(x^+) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)$ such that $x|_{I_{01}}$ belongs to U.

4. The hypothesis U^+ generates V^+ then implies that h vanishes on $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{I_{01}}/F)^+$. Hence, $\mathrm{Im}(h)$ lies in $A_\wp/p^-$, the minus eigenspace of $A_\wp/p$ for the action of τ which is a free $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$-module of rank 1. In particular, it cannot be a proper non-trivial G-submodule of $A_\wp/p$. Therefore, $h = 0$ which implies $s = 0$.

□

Next, we study the action of complex conjugation on the χ-component of the cocycles $y_{q,\wp}$.

Proposition 7.5. *There is an element* σ_0 *in* $\mathrm{Gal}(H_q/K)$ *such that*

$$\tau e_\chi y_{q,\wp} = \varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)e_{\overline{\chi}}y_{q,\wp},$$

where $-\varepsilon$ *is the sign of the functional equation of* $L(f,s)$.

Proof. [14, proposition 6.2] that uses a result in [7] states that

$$\tau y_{q,\wp} = \varepsilon\sigma_0 y_{q,\wp} \tag{10}$$

for some σ_0 in $\mathrm{Gal}(H_q/K)$. Since τ acts on an element g of G by

$$\tau g\tau^{-1} = g^{-1},$$

we have

$$\tau e_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\tau\chi^{-1}(g)g = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\chi(g^{-1})g^{-1}\tau = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\overline{\chi}^{-1}(g^{-1})g^{-1}\tau = e_{\overline{\chi}}\tau.$$

Also,

$$e_{\overline{\chi}}\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\overline{\chi}^{-1}(g)\sigma_0 g = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\overline{\chi}^{-1}(\sigma_0 g)\sigma_0 g = \overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)e_{\overline{\chi}}.$$

Therefore, applying $e_{\overline{\chi}}$ to Equation (10) yields

$$\tau e_{\chi} y_{q,\wp} = \varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)e_{\overline{\chi}}y_{q,\wp}.$$

□

Let us look at the action of complex conjugation on $V^{\overline{\chi}} = V_0^{\overline{\chi}}V_1^{\overline{\chi}}$. For (v_0, v_1) in V_0V_1, we use the identity $\tau D_q = -D_q\tau \mod p$ to obtain

$$\tau v_0\tau(e_{\overline{\chi}}y_{1,\wp}) = \varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau v_0(e_{\chi}y_{1,\wp}).$$

$$\tau v_1\tau(e_{\overline{\chi}}D_q y_{q,\wp}) = -\tau v_1 D_q\tau(e_{\overline{\chi}}y_{q,\wp}) = -\varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau v_1(e_{\chi}D_q y_{q,\wp}).$$

When $\chi = \overline{\chi}$, for (x,y) in $V_0^{\overline{\chi}}V_1^{\overline{\chi}}$,

$$\tau(x,y)\tau = (\varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x, -\varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau y).$$

In this case, we define

$$U = \{(x,y) \text{ in } V_0\times V_1 | \varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau x + x, -\varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau y + y \text{ generate } A_{\wp}/p\}.$$

When $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$, for (x,y,z,w) in $V_0^{\chi}V_0^{\overline{\chi}}V_1^{\chi}V_1^{\overline{\chi}} = V$,

$$\tau(x,y,z,w)\tau = (\varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau y, \varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x, -\varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau w, -\varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau z).$$

In this case, we define

$$U = \{(x,y,z,w) \text{ in } V_0^{\chi} V_0^{\overline{\chi}} V_1^{\chi} V_1^{\overline{\chi}} | \varepsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x + y, -\varepsilon\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau z + w \text{ generate } A_{\wp}/p\}.$$

In both cases, Proposition 7.3 and Congruence (2) imply that U^+ generates

$$V^+ \simeq V_0^+ \times V_1^+ \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p \times \mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p \simeq A_{\wp}/p.$$

Let ℓ be a prime in $L(U)$, and let λ be the prime of K lying above it.

Proposition 7.6. *The elements*

$$\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell) \textit{ and } \mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)$$

generate $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p)^{\overline{\chi}}$.

Proof. We have

$$\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p)^{\overline{\chi}} \simeq \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} \left((A_{\wp}/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}/(\phi - 1)\right)^{\overline{\chi}}$$

since the former is isomorphic to its dual by Isomorphism (9). The module

$$\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} \left((A_{\wp}/p)^{I_{\lambda'}}/(\phi - 1)\right)^{\overline{\chi}}$$

is of rank at most 2 over $\mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p$, hence, so is $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p)^{\overline{\chi}}$. The Frobenius condition on ℓ implies that

$$\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}) \text{ and } \mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp})$$

are linearly independent over $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} A_{\wp}/p$. Indeed, if they were linearly dependent then, in the case

$\chi = \overline{\chi}$,

$$(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}))^{(\tau x)^2} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$$
$$\text{and } (\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q red(y_{q,\wp}))^{(\tau y)^2} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp})$$

where $\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_{01}/\mathbb{Q}) = \tau u = (\tau x, \tau y)$ would also be linearly dependent. The Frobenius condition implies that

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_0^{\overline{\chi}}/F) = x^\tau x = (\tau x)^2 \text{ and } \mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_1^{\overline{\chi}}/F) = y^\tau y = (\tau y)^2$$

generate $A_\wp/p$, which yields a contradiction as $(\tau x)^2$ acts on the element $\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$ generating the local extension of $I_0^{\overline{\chi}}$ over F by

$$(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}))^{(\tau x)^2} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$$

and $(\tau y)^2$ acts on the element $\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q red(y_{q,\wp})$ generating the local extension of $I_1^{\overline{\chi}}$ over F by

$$\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q red(y_{q,\wp}))^{(\tau y)^2} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp}).$$

Similarly, in the case $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$,

$$(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp}))^{x^\tau y} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$$
$$\text{and } (\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp}))^{z^\tau w} - \mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red}(y_{q,\wp})$$

where $\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_{01}/\mathbb{Q}) = \tau u = (\tau x, \tau y, \tau z, \tau w)$ would also be linearly dependent. The Frobenius condition implies that

$$\mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_0^{\overline{\chi}}/F) = x^\tau y = (\tau x)(\tau y) \text{ and } \mathrm{Frob}_\ell(I_1^{\overline{\chi}}/F) = z^\tau w = (\tau z)(\tau w)$$

generate $A_{\wp}/p$, which yields a contradiction.

Equation (5) implies that if $\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)$ and $\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell)$ were linearly dependent then

$$\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(q) = \mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} D_q \mathrm{red} y_{q,\wp} \text{ and } \mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(1) = \mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} \mathrm{red} y_{1,\wp}$$

would be linearly dependent as well. ☐

8 Bounding the size of the dual of the Selmer group

In what follows, we study the modules $X_{\ell}^{\overline{\chi}}$ for ℓ in $L(U)$.

Proposition 8.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{\lambda'|\ell|n} \langle s_{\lambda'}, \mathrm{res}_{\lambda'} P(n) \rangle_{\lambda'} = 0.$$

Proof. The proof follows [14, proposition 11.2(2)] where both the reciprocity law and the local ramification properties of $P(n)$ in Proposition 4.4 are used. ☐

Proposition 8.2. *The element $\psi_{\ell}(\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q))$ generates $X_{\ell}^{\overline{\chi}}$ over $O_{\wp}/p$ for ℓ in $L(U)$.*

Proof. The image of $\mathrm{res}_{\lambda} e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell)$ by the map

$$\psi_{\ell} : \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_{\wp}/p)^{\overline{\chi}} \longrightarrow X_{\ell}^{\overline{\chi}}$$

is the homomorphism from $S^{\overline{\chi}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ given by:

$$e_{\overline{\chi}} s \mapsto \sum_{\lambda'|\lambda} \langle e_{\overline{\chi}} s_{\lambda'}, e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell)_{\lambda'} \rangle_{\lambda'}.$$

Proposition 8.1 implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda'|\lambda} \langle e_{\overline{\chi}} s_{\lambda'}, e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell)_{\lambda'} \rangle_{\lambda'} = 0.$$

Hence, the image by ψ_ℓ of $\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell)$, one of the two generators of

$$\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}^{ur}, A_\wp/p)^{\overline{\chi}}$$

by Proposition 7.6, is trivial. ☐

Proposition 8.3. *The modules $X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}}$ that are non-zero are all equal for $\ell \in L(U)$.*

Proof. Proposition 8.1 implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda'|\lambda} \langle e_{\overline{\chi}} s_{\lambda'}, e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)_{\lambda'} \rangle + \sum_{\beta'|\beta} \langle e_{\overline{\chi}} s_{\beta'}, e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)_{\beta'} \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\psi_\ell(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)) + \psi_q(\mathrm{res}_\beta e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)) = 0.$$

If $\psi_\ell(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)) = 0$, then by Proposition 8.2, $X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}} = 0$. Otherwise, since

$$\psi_\ell(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q))$$

generates $X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}}$ over $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$, we have that

$$-\psi_\ell(\mathrm{res}_\lambda e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)) = \psi_q(\mathrm{res}_\beta e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q)) \in X_q^{\overline{\chi}}$$

is non-zero. Therefore, the non-trivial element $\psi_q(\mathrm{res}_\beta e_{\overline{\chi}} P(\ell q))$ generates a rank 1 module $X_q^{\overline{\chi}}$ over $\mathscr{O}_\wp/p$ and $X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}} = X_q^{\overline{\chi}}$. ☐

In what follows, we prove theorem 1.1.

Proof. By Proposition 7.4, the set $\{X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}}\}$ generates $S^{dual,\overline{\chi}}$ as ℓ ranges over $L(U)$. Hence, the set $\{X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}}\}$ generates $S^{dual,\overline{\chi}}$ as ℓ ranges over $L(U)$, where, by Proposition 8.2, the modules $X_\ell^{\overline{\chi}}$ that are non-zero are of rank 1 over $\mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p$ and are all equal. Hence, $\mathrm{rank}(S^{\chi}) \leq 1$. Also, $e_{\chi}\mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$ belongs to S^{χ} by Proposition 4.4 and is not divisible by p in S^{χ}. Indeed, this follows from the hypothesis on $e_{\overline{\chi}}\mathrm{red}(y_{1,\wp})$ and Proposition 7.5 where $\overline{\chi}(\sigma_0)$ is a root of unity since $\mathrm{Gal}(H/K)$ is a finite group. This implies that $\mathrm{rank}(S^{\chi}) \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{rank}(S^{\chi}) = \mathrm{rank}(S^{dual,\overline{\chi}}) = 1.$$

□

Remark 8.4. Because the p-adic Abel-Jacobi map factors through the Selmer group, (see [14, Proposition 11.2.1] for a proof)

$$\Phi^{\chi} : \mathrm{CH}^r(W_{2r-2}/H)_0^{\chi} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p\mathscr{O}_{\wp} \longrightarrow S^{\chi},$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathscr{O}_{\wp}/p}(\mathrm{Im}(\Phi^{\chi})) = 1$.

Remark 8.5. In Kolyvagin's argument for elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ and certain imaginary quadratic fields K, the non- triviality of the Heegner point y_K in $E(K)/pE(K)$ for suitable primes p immediately implied the non-triviality of y_K in $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/K)$. In our situation, even though the p-adic Abel-Jacobi map is conjectured to be injective, it is non-trivial to check whether a non-trivial Heegner cycle in the Chow group has non-trivial image in $H^1(H,A_{\wp}/p)$.

References

[1] Massimo Bertolini and Henri Darmon. Kolyvagin's descent and Mordell-Weil groups over ring class fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 412:63–74, 1990.

[2] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna. Chow-Heegner points on CM elliptic curves and values of p-adic L-functions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2012.

[3] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna. Generalized Heegner cycles and p-adic Rankin L-series. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(6):1033–1148, 2013.

[4] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato. L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, volume 86 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 333–400. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[5] Jean-Luc Brylinski. Heights for local systems on curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 59(1):1–26, 1989.

[6] Pierre Deligne. Formes Modulaires et Representations de GL(2). In *Modular Functions of One Variable II*, volume 349 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 55–105. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.

[7] Benedict H. Gross. Heegner points on $X_0(N)$. In *Modular forms (Durham, 1983)*, Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl.: Statist. Oper. Res., pages 87–105. Horwood, Chichester, 1984.

[8] Benedict H. Gross. Kolyvagin's work on modular elliptic curves. In *L-functions and arithmetic (Durham, 1989)*, volume 153 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 235–256. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[9] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):225–320, 1986.

[10] Uwe Jannsen. Continuous étale cohomology. *Math. Ann.*, 280(2):207–245, 1988.

[11] Victor A. Kolyvagin. *Euler Systems*, volume 2 of *The Grothendieck Festschrift*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[12] Serge Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Springer, 1983.

[13] James S. Milne. *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*. Perspectives in mathematics. Academic Press, 1986.

[14] Jan Nekovář. Kolyvagin's method for Chow groups of Kuga-Sato varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 107(1):99–125, 1992.

[15] Takeshi Saito. Weight-monodromy conjecture for ℓ-adic representations associated to modular forms. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles*, pages 427–431. Springer, 2000.

[16] Anthony J. Scholl. Motives for modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 100(1):419–430, 1990.

[17] Takeshi Tsuji. On p-adic Hodge theory for semi-stable families. *Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (884):141–153, 1994. Moduli spaces, Galois representations and L-function.